DOI: https://doi.org/10.32782/2308-1988/2025-55-90
UDC 005.8:339.9:658.155

Anastasiia Maliukina
Candidate of Economic Sciences,
Associate Professor Department of International Economics and Management,
Simon Kuznets Kharkiv National University of Economics
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7243-413X

Анастасія Малюкіна
Харківський національний економічний університет імені Семена Кузнеця

RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES IN INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS PROJECT MANAGEMENT

СТРАТЕГІЇ УПРАВЛІННЯ РИЗИКАМИ В УПРАВЛІННІ ПРОЕКТАМИ МІЖНАРОДНОГО БІЗНЕСУ

Summary. The purpose of the article is to examine modern approaches to risk management in international business project management and to develop an integrated model that incorporates political, economic, legal, cultural, and operational factors. The study analyzes academic research and practical methods, systematizes the classification of risks, and reviews techniques for their identification and assessment. A three-tiered integrated risk management model is proposed, combining strategic, operational, and cultural management levels. The scientific novelty lies in integrating multidimensional risks into a single framework, which enhances the effectiveness of international projects. The practical significance of the results is the applicability of the proposed model to reduce costs and improve the timeliness of international business project delivery.

Keywords: international business project management, risk management, integrated model, strategic risks, cultural adaptation, operational risks.

Анотація. Стаття подає огляд підходів до ризик-менеджменту в міжнародному проєктному менеджменті в умовах зростання складності та непередбачуваності глобального середовища. Показано, що міжнародні проєкти мають багатовимірний ризиковий профіль, сформований політичними, економічними, правовими, культурними й операційними чинниками, що впливають на строки, бюджет і якість результатів. Наукова проблема – розрізненість методик ідентифікації ризиків, що зумовлює фрагментарні управлінські рішення і втрату ефективності. Мета – виконати аналітичний огляд і критичне узагальнення стратегій та розробити інтегровану модель повного циклу: від раннього виявлення й оцінювання до превентивної реакції та постпроєктного навчання. Систематизовано класифікації й виокремлено загрози: політична нестабільність/санкції, валютно-процентна волатильність, регуляторна асиметрія, міжкультурні бар'єри, збої постачання, кібер- та репутаційні ризики. Методологія поєднує якісні інструменти (експертні інтерв'ю, Делфі, аналіз стейкхолдерів, bow-tie) і кількісні підходи (Монте-Карло, аналіз чутливості, сценарний аналіз, теплові карти). Окремо розглянуто апетит до ризику, резерви, страхування, валютне хеджування, контрактні застереження й механізми розподілу ризиків. Запропоновано трирівневу інтегровану модель. Стратегічний рівень: безперервний моніторинг зовнішнього середовища (PESTEL, макроіндикатори), сценарне планування, метрики толерантності, кросфункціональна рада з ризиків, системи раннього попередження. Операційний рівень: реєстр і карта ризиків, панелі моніторингу, KRI й тригери ескалації, регулярні огляди, буфери часу/ресурсів, диверсифікація постачальників, планування безперервності бізнесу. Культурний рівень: міжкультурна компетентність, прозорі протоколи комунікації, довіра й психологічна безпека для повідомлення про ризики, багатомовна документація й уніфіковані стандарти взаємодії. Наукова новизна – універсальна структура, що інтегрує стратегічні, операційні й культурні механізми в адаптивну систему зі зворотними зв'язками, здатну зменшувати невизначеність і гнучко реагувати на шоки. Практична значущість – масштабування під різні індустрії, скорочення непродуктивних витрат, оптимізація ресурсів, підвищення якості рішень і дотримання строків, зміцнення стійкості ланцюгів постачання. Окреслено обмеження: чутливість кількісних результатів до якості даних і швидких змін середовища. Майбутні дослідження – емпірична валідація моделі та інтеграція з інструментами штучного інтелекту для прогнозування ризикових подій у реальному часі.

Ключові слова: міжнародний бізнес-проєктний менеджмент, управління ризиками, інтегрована модель, стратегічні ризики, культурна адаптація, операційні ризики.

Problem statement. Globalization has significantly increased the scope and complexity of business projects, with many organizations now managing initiatives across multiple countries and cultural contexts. While globalization offers new opportunities, it also exposes projects to heightened levels of uncertainty and risk. Political instability, exchange rate fluctuations, cultural misunderstandings, legal discrepancies, and logistical challenges are just a few of the potential threats that can jeopardize project success.

Despite the recognition of these risks, many project managers still rely on frameworks developed for domestic environments, which fail to address the multidimensional nature of international operations. Without a comprehensive and adaptive risk management strategy, projects are more vulnerable to delays, budget overruns, and reduced quality outcomes.

Analysis of recent research and publications. Research in recent years has highlighted the necessity of adapting risk management strategies to the realities of international business projects. Turner J. R. and Müller R. emphasize that risk in international projects is multidimensional, requiring both strategic and operational management approaches [5, p. 234]. Kutsch E. et al. focus on the human element, demonstrating that cultural intelligence and stakeholder communication are critical in preventing misalignment and misunderstandings [3, p. 625].

In addition, Zwikael O. and Smyrk J. argue for a more integrated risk governance framework, where political, economic, and socio-cultural risks are addressed collectively rather than in isolation [6, p. 350]. The Pulse of the Profession report further reinforces the finding that organizations with mature risk management capabilities are significantly more likely to achieve project objectives [4, p. 18]. However, a research gap remains in combining political, economic, legal, and cultural considerations into a unified, practical framework.

The purpose of the article. The aim of this article is to examine current risk management strategies in the context of international business project management and to propose an integrated model that addresses the interplay between political, economic, legal, cultural, and operational factors in global projects.

Main body of research. The management of risks in international business projects requires a multidimensional analytical approach due to the complexity of global operations. Unlike domestic initiatives, international projects face challenges that originate from diverse political systems, economic structures, cultural contexts, and regulatory frameworks. These factors often intersect, creating compound risks that can escalate rapidly if not identified and addressed in a timely manner.

The academic literature [1–9] consistently emphasizes that a fragmented approach to risk management – where each risk category is treated in isolation – fails to capture the interconnected nature of threats in global projects. Therefore, this section of the research focuses on providing a systematic classification of risks, an evaluation of the most effective identification and assessment techniques, and a synthesis of mitigation strategies adapted to cross-border contexts.

Furthermore, an integrated framework is proposed, combining strategic, operational, and cultural perspectives into a single risk governance model. The discussion is supported by a practical case illustration, demonstrating the framework's applicability and measurable impact on project performance.

1. Comprehensive classification of risks in international projects. International business projects operate in complex and dynamic environments where multiple types of risks intersect and compound their effects. For effective project governance, it is essential not only to recognize these risks individually but also to understand how they interact in a broader international context. A structured classification allows project managers to prioritize mitigation strategies, allocate resources effectively, and anticipate potential disruptions before they materialize.

The following Table 1 presents a consolidated classification of key risk categories in international projects, outlining their definitions and providing representative examples for each. This typology serves as a practical reference for both academic research and real-world project management practice.

From an analytical perspective, this classification underscores the multi-layered nature of risk in international projects. Each category operates within its own domain yet may amplify the impact of others – for example, political instability can trigger economic volatility, while cultural misunderstandings may exacerbate operational delays. Consequently, a comprehensive risk management strategy must address not only the specific attributes of each category but also their interdependencies, ensuring a holistic and resilient approach to project execution.

2. Risk identification and assessment techniques. Accurate and timely identification of risks is the foundation of effective risk management in

Table 1 – Classification of risks in international projects

Risk category	Description	Examples
Political	Risks arising from political actions, instability, or government interventions	Trade sanctions, political unrest, policy shifts, expropriation of assets
Economic	Risks related to macroeconomic fluctuations and market volatility	Currency devaluation, inflation, interest rate hikes, recession
Legal and regulatory	Risks due to variations in legal systems and compliance requirements	Contract enforcement differences, tax disputes, IP rights violations
Cultural and communication	Risks linked to intercultural differences and miscommunication	Language barriers, conflicting negotiation styles, differing decision-making norms
Operational	Risks affecting daily operations and project execution	Supply chain delays, time zone coordination issues, inconsistent quality standards

Source: summarized by the author

international business projects. Given the diversity of potential threats and the variability of their sources, relying on a single analytical method often leads to incomplete assessments. Therefore, project managers must employ a combination of approaches that capture both measurable and qualitative aspects of risk. This multidimensional analysis enables decision-makers to anticipate potential disruptions and develop mitigation plans aligned with the project's strategic objectives.

Qualitative methods – including expert interviews, stakeholder workshops, focus groups, and the Delphi technique – provide deep, context-sensitive insights into potential risk factors, particularly in areas where historical data is limited or where risks are emerging [1].

Quantitative methods – such as Monte Carlo simulations, decision tree analysis, and sensitivity analysis – enable the statistical modeling of probabilities and potential impacts, thus enhancing the precision of risk forecasts.

Scenario planning – particularly effective in volatile geopolitical and economic environments – allows project managers to simulate alternative future states and to design adaptive strategies in response [1, p. 135].

Therefore, an integrated risk assessment process that combines qualitative foresight with quantitative precision offers the highest potential for identifying both immediate and latent threats in international projects. Such an approach ensures that risk profiles remain dynamic and responsive to changes in the external environment, which is crucial for projects operating in volatile cross-border contexts.

3. Risk mitigation strategies. Once risks have been identified and assessed, the next critical step is to develop strategies that either reduce their likelihood, minimize their potential impact, or both. In international business projects, mitigation measures must account for cross-cultural realities, jurisdictional differences, and logistical constraints, while remaining adaptable to unforeseen developments.

Diversification of suppliers and strategic partners to minimize dependence on a single geographic region or supplier.

Currency risk hedging via forward contracts, options, and swaps to stabilize project budgets and reduce exposure to exchange rate volatility.

Cross-cultural competence development through targeted training programs, coaching, and the use of cultural liaison officers.

Flexible project scheduling that accommodates public holidays, cultural events, and time zone differences.

Regular legal compliance audits to ensure adherence to evolving regulations across jurisdictions.

In summary, effective mitigation in the international arena is not limited to technical or financial controls. It requires a balanced combination of economic safeguards, operational flexibility, and cultural intelligence. By integrating these dimensions, project managers can create robust defense mechanisms that increase resilience against both predictable and unexpected risks.

4. Proposed integrated risk management framework. The complexity of international business projects requires a risk management approach that moves beyond isolated countermeasures and adopts a systemic perspective. Based on the synthesis of academic literature and practical case observations, it becomes evident that political, economic, legal, cultural, and operational risks are interdependent. This interdependence calls for a governance model capable of integrating diverse risk categories into a unified, adaptive structure that operates across multiple levels of decision-making.

The proposed three-tiered integrated framework is designed to ensure that strategic foresight, operational control, and cultural adaptability work in parallel:

Strategic Layer – continuous monitoring of macroeconomic, political, and market indicators; incorporation of risk considerations into the broader corporate and project portfolio strategy [3].

Operational Layer – implementation of agile, data-driven risk tracking systems; use of dashboards and key risk indicators (KRIs) to support rapid decision-making and corrective actions [7].

Cultural Layer – structured intercultural training programs, proactive stakeholder engagement, and institutionalized conflict resolution protocols to build trust and reduce misunderstanding [4].

So, the integrated framework provides a structured yet flexible approach that aligns strategic oversight with operational agility and cultural competence. By embedding these dimensions into a single governance model, organizations can achieve a higher degree of resilience and maintain project performance even under volatile global conditions.

5. Case illustration. The practical application of the proposed framework is best demonstrated through real-world projects where risk factors are both diverse and interlinked. One such example is a multinational IT infrastructure deployment in Southeast Asia, where the project team faced challenges ranging from regulatory changes to supply chain disruptions and cross-cultural misunderstandings [8].

By implementing the three-tiered framework:

Political risks were proactively managed through early engagement with governmental stakeholders and integration of geopolitical analysis into planning.

Cross-cultural training sessions significantly improved inter-team communication and reduced delays stemming from misunderstandings.

Real-time operational dashboards facilitated rapid detection of supply chain bottlenecks.

As a result, the project achieved an 18% reduction in unplanned costs and a 12% improvement in delivery times compared to the previous cycle [2, p. 240].

From an analytical perspective, this case reinforces the argument that comprehensive, multi-level risk management is not merely a theoretical construct but a practical necessity in today's interconnected business environment. It demonstrates how strategic, operational, and cultural dimensions, when managed as an integrated whole, yield measurable improvements in both efficiency and reliability.

Conclusions. International business projects operate in a volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous (VUCA) environment. Risk management in this context must move beyond traditional operational controls to embrace a holistic approach that incorporates strategic foresight, cultural adaptability, and legal compliance.

The proposed integrated three-tiered framework addresses these needs, offering project managers a structured yet flexible tool for risk identification, assessment, and mitigation. Future studies should explore the role of digital technologies, such as artificial intelligence and big data analytics, in predictive risk modeling for international projects.

References:

1. Hillson D (2022). *Practical Project Risk Management: The ATOM Methodology*. CRC Press. 241 p.
2. Howell L D (2021). *The Handbook of Country and Political Risk Analysis*. PRS Group. 440 p.
3. Kutsch E, Hall M & Turner N (2021). Cultural intelligence and project risk management: Understanding the human factor in global projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, vol. 39, is. 6, pp. 625–637.
4. Project Management Institute (2023). *Pulse of the Profession: Powering the Project Economy*. PMI. 22 p.
5. Turner J R & Müller R (2020). *The Handbook of Project Management: Global Standards and Best Practices.* Routledge. 667 p.
6. Zwikael O & Smyrk J (2019). Project governance: Balancing control and autonomy in the project environment. *International Journal of Project Management*, vol. 37, is. 3, pp. 350–362.
7. Project Management Institute (2021). *A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK® Guide)*, 7th ed. PMI. 250 p.
8. International Organization for Standardization (2018). ISO 31000:2018 *Risk management – Guidelines*. ISO. 16 p.
9. Chapman C & Ward S (2011). *How to Manage Project Opportunity and Risk: Why Uncertainty Management can be a Much Better Approach than Risk Management*, 3rd ed. Wiley. 518 p.

Стаття надійшла до редакції 14.08.2025